Emotional Disturbance and Its Treatment in a Nutshell

Albert Ellis, Ph.D.

When I presented my first paper on rational emotive behavior therapy (REBT) and cognitive behavior therapy (CBT) at the American Psychological Association convention in 1956, I fully realized how complex cognitions, emotions, and behaviors are and how they inevitably include and interact with each other.

REBT has always had a complex, interactional, and holistic view of the ABC’s of human personality and disturbance. Simply stated, the ABC theory of REBT follows the views of several ancient philosophers — especially Epictetus and Marcus Aurelius — and of Robert Woodworth’s stimulus-organism-response theory. It holds that activating events (A’s) in people lives contribute to their emotional and behavioral disturbances or consequences (C’s) largely because they are intermingled with or acted upon by people’s beliefs (B’s) about these activating events (A’s).

### BASIC HUMAN GOALS AND VALUES

People generally have a set of goals, values, or desires. Humans, biologically and by social learning, are goal-seeking animals and their fundamental goals normally are to survive, to be relatively free from pain, and to be reasonably satisfied or content. As subgoals of these primary goals, they want to be happy (1) when by themselves; (2) with other groups of humans; (3) intimately, with a few selected others; (4) informationally and educationally; (5) vocationally and economically; and (6) recreationally.

When, in response to an activating event, people think, at point B, “This is good! I like this activating event,” they tend to experience the emotional consequence of pleasure or happiness and the behavioral consequence of approaching (or trying to repeat) this activating event. When these same people experience activating events that they perceive as blocking or sabotaging their goals, they normally react in an unpleasurable, avoiding manner. Thus, they preferentially think, at point B, “This is bad! I dislike this activating event,” and they experience the emotional consequences of frustration or unhappiness and the behavioral consequence of avoiding or trying to eliminate this activating event.

### THE ABC’S OF EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE

The ABC model of REBT becomes more complex and controversial when applied to neurotic disturbance. For it hypothesizes that when people’s goals are blocked by activating events they have a conscious or unconscious choice of responding with disturbed or undisturbed negative consequences. If their belief system is rational or self-helping, it will include attitudes or philosophies that help them to achieve their goals. These rational beliefs will mainly create healthy emotional consequences, such as appropriate feelings of disappointment, sorrow, regret and frustration. Rational beliefs will also tend to encourage healthy behavior such as trying to change, improve, or avoid activating events that sabotage their goals.

This ABC model of emotional/behavioral disturbance is fairly straightforward and, as noted above, is followed by most REBT and cognitive behavior therapy practitioners and theorists. It hypothesizes that the irrational beliefs or dysfunctional attitudes that constitute people’s self-disturbing philosophies have two main qualities:

1. They have at their core explicit or implicit rigid, powerful demands and commands, usually expressed as musts, shoulds, ought to’s, have to’s, and got to’s such as, “I absolutely must have my important goals fulfilled!”
2. They also have derivatives of these demands. For example, “If I don’t have my important goals fulfilled, as I must,” (a) “it’s awful” (that is, one hundred percent bad); (b) “I can’t
bear it” (that is, survive or be happy at all!); (c) “I’m a worthless person” (that is, completely bad and undeserving!); and (d) “I’ll always fail to get what I want now and in the future!”

This ABC model of human disturbance is followed, in REBT, by D — the disputing of people’s irrational beliefs (iB’s) — when they feel and act in a self-defeating way, until they arrive at E, a new set of more rational beliefs. For example, “I’d prefer to succeed and be lovable, but I don’t have to do so!” “I’d very much like others to treat me fairly and considerately, but there is no reason why they must do so.” “I greatly desire my life conditions to be comfortable and pleasant, but I don’t need them to be that way.”

Disputing of irrational beliefs is first done cognitively, by using scientific questioning and challenging to uproot people’s musts and demands. For example, “Why must I perform well, even though it’s desirable that I do?” “Where is the evidence that you have to treat me considerately, however much I’d like you to do so?” Disputing is also done emotively. For example, using rational emotive imagery, people imagine one of the worst failures to achieve their goals, letting themselves feel very depressed. They are then to fully work to change their inappropriate feeling of depression to the healthy ones of keen disappointment or regret. Disputing is also done behaviorally. For example, people who avoid socializing can force themselves to socialize while simultaneously convincing themselves that it is not awful, but only inconvenient, to get rejected.

The more specific clinical application of the ABCs of REBT has been successful in thousands of reported cases and in scores of therapy outcome studies. Most of these studies have used REBT cognitive disputing, but have failed to add its emotive and behavioral disputing methods. So I predict that when REBT is properly tested it will do even better against control groups than has up to now been shown.

REFERENCES


Additional copies of this handout are available at:
10 copies for $5.00 • 50 copies for $20.00 • 100 copies for $35.00

from the

ALBERT ELLIS INSTITUTE
45 East 65th Street, New York, NY 10065
Phone: (212) 535-0822 • Fax: (212) 249-3582
e-mail: orders@albertellis.org • www.albertellis.org

Call for a FREE catalogue of REBT CDs, tapes and publications.
Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy’s A-B-C Theory of Emotional Disturbance

“People are disturbed not by things, but by the views which they take of them.”
—Epictetus, 1st century A.D.

It is not only the event, but also our attitudes and beliefs about it, that cause our emotional reaction.

A  
Activating Experience
Womanfriend breaks the news that she is going out with another man, and therefore wishes to break off her relationship with you.

B  
Irrational Beliefs About the Experience
"I really must be a worthless person."
“I'll never find another great woman like her.”
“She doesn’t want me; therefore no one could possibly want me.”
and/or
“This is awful!” “Everything happens to me!”
“That bitch! She shouldn’t be that way.”
“I can’t stand the world being so unfair and lousy.”

C  
Upsetting Emotional Consequences
DEPRESSION
and/or
HOSTILITY

D  
Disputing of Irrational Ideas
“Where’s the evidence that because this woman wishes to end our relationship, that I am a worthless person; or that I’ll never be able to have a really good relationship with someone else; or even that I couldn’t be happy alone?”

“Why is it awful that I’m not getting what I want?”
“Why shouldn’t the world be full of hassles?”
“How does her rejecting me make me a bad person (or her a bad person)?”

E  
New Emotional Consequence or Effect
SADNESS: “Well, we did have a nice relationship, and I’m sorry to see it end—but it did have its problems, and now I can go out and find a new friend.”

or

ANNoyANCE: “It’s annoying that she is seeing someone; but it isn’t awful or intolerable.”